Attachment A to Resolution No. R12-XXX Los Angeles River Nitrogen Compounds and Related Effects TMDL

This TMDL was adopted by:

The Regional Water Quality Control Board on July 10, 2003.

This TMDL was approved by:

The State Water Resources Control Board on November 19, 2003. The Office of Administrative Law on February 27, 2004. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency on March 18, 2004.

This TMDL was amended and adopted by:

The Regional Water Quality Control Board on December 4, 2003.

This amended TMDL was approved by:

The State Water Resources Control Board on March 24, 2004. The Office of Administrative Law on September 27, 2004. [U.S. Environmental Protection Agency approval not required for amendment to Implementation Plan].

This TMDL was amended and adopted by: The Regional Water Quality Control Board on [Date].

This amended TMDL was approved by:

The State Water Resources Control Board on [Date].

The Office of Administrative Law on [Date].

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency on [Date].

The effective date of this TMDL is: [Date].

Table 7-8.1. LOS ANGELES RIVER NITROGEN COMPOUNDS AND RELATEDEFFECTS TMDL: Elements

Element	Los Angeles River Nitrogen Compounds and Related Effects TMDL	
Problem	Reaches of the Los Angeles River and its tributaries were listed as impaired	
Statement	for nitrogen compounds (ammonia, nitrate, and nitrate) and related effects	
	such as algae, pH, odor, and scum on the 2002 303(d) list. These reaches	
	were listed because numeric and narrative water quality objectives for	
	nitrogen compounds and related effects were exceeded, thereby impairing	
	warm, freshwater, and wildlife habitats, and recreation beneficial uses.	

Element	Los Angeles River Nitrogen Compounds and Related Effects TMDL		
Numeric Target	Numeric targets for this TMDL are listed as follows:		
Numeric Target (Interpretation of the numeric water quality objective, used to calculate the load allocations)	 a) Total ammonia as nitrogen (NH₃-N) Numeric targets are dependent on temperature and pH of receiving water as well as the presence of early life stages (ELS) of fish. One-hour average numeric targets are based on the last three years of temperature and pH data for receiving waters correspondent to major discharge points. Thirty-day average numeric targets are formulaic and incorporate site-specific water effects ratios (WERs). Based on the last three years of temperature and pH data, the ammonia numeric targets for receiving waters correspondent to major discharge points are 		
	provided below:Receiving water correspondent to major discharge point One-hour average Thirty-day averageLos Angeles River Reach 5 (within Sepulveda Basin) and Reach 4 (Riverside Dr. to Sepulveda Dam)- Donald C. Tillman WRP 4.7 mg/L 4.7 mg/L 1.6 mg/LLos Angeles River Reach 3 (Riverside Dr. to Figueroa St.) - Los Angeles/ Glendale WRP 8.7 mg/L 2.4 mg/LBurbank Western Channel - Burbank WRP 10.1 mg/L 2.3 mg/L		
	$\frac{Receiving water correspondent to major discharge point}{Thirty-day average}$ Los Angeles River Reach 5 (within Sepulveda Basin) - Donald C. Tillman WRP ELS Present (from April 1 – September 30) $CCC = \left(\frac{0.0676}{1+10^{7.688-pH}} + \frac{2.912}{1+10^{pH-7.688}}\right) * 0.854 * MIN(2.85, 2.85 * 10^{0.028*(25-T)})$ ELS Absent (from October 1 – March 31) $CCC = \left(\frac{0.0676}{1+10^{7.688-pH}} + \frac{2.912}{1+10^{pH-7.688}}\right) * 0.854 * 2.85 * 10^{0.028*(25-Max(T,7))}$ Los Angeles River Reach 4 (Sepulveda Dam to Riverside Dr.) - Donald C. Tillman WRP ELS Absent (year round) $CCC = \left(\frac{0.0676}{1+10^{7.688-pH}} + \frac{2.912}{1+10^{pH-7.688}}\right) * 0.854 * 2.85 * 10^{0.028*(25-Max(T,7))}$		

Element	Los Angeles River Nitrogen Compounds and Related Effects TMDL		
	$\frac{\text{Los Angeles River Reach 3 (Riverside Dr. to Figueroa St.) - Los Angeles/}{\text{Glendale WRP}}$ $\frac{\text{ELS Present (from April 1 - September 30)}}{(1+10^{-7.688-pH} + \frac{2.912}{1+10^{-7.688}})^{*} 0.854 * MIN(2.85, 2.85 * 10^{-0.028*(25-T)})}$ $\frac{\text{ELS Absent (from October 1 - March 31)}}{(1+10^{-7.688-pH} + \frac{2.912}{1+10^{-7.688}})^{*} 0.854 * 2.85 * 10^{-0.028*(25-Max(T,7))}}$		
	Burbank Western Channel - Burbank WRP $CCC = \left(\frac{0.0676}{1+10^{7.688-pH}} + \frac{2.912}{1+10^{pH-7.688}}\right) * 0.92 * 2.03 * 10^{0.028*(25-Max(T,7))}$		
	In addition, the highest four-day average within the 30-day period shall not exceed 2.5 times the 30-day average numeric target.		
	b) Nitrate-nitrogen and nitrite-nitrogen		
	Constituent Thirty-day average Nitrate-nitrogen (NO ₃ -N) 8 mg/L		
	Nitrite-nitrogen (NO ₂ -N) 1 mg/L		
	Nitrate-nitrogen plus nitrite-nitrogen (NO ₃ -N + NO ₂ -N) 8 mg/L		
	Numeric targets to address narrative objectives required to protect warm freshwater and wildlife habitats are intended to implement the narrative objectives and may be revised based on the results of monitoring and studies conducted pursuant to the implementation plan.		
Source Analysis	The principal source of nitrogen compounds to the Los Angeles River is discharges from the Donald C. Tillman Water Reclamation Plant (WRP), the Los Angeles-Glendale WRP, and the Burbank WRP. During dry weather period, the major POTWs contribute 84.1% of the total dry weather nitrogen load. Urban runoff, stormwater, and groundwater discharge may also contribute nitrate loads. Further evaluation of these sources is set forth in the Implementation Plan.		

Element	Los Angeles River Nitrogen Compounds and Related Effects TMDLLinkage between nutrient sources and the instream water quality was established through hydrodynamic and water quality models. The Environmental Fluid Dynamics Code 1-D was used to model the hydrodynamic characteristics of the Los Angeles River and the Water Quality Analysis Simulation Program was used to model water quality. Additional studies were conducted to develop the residence time and determine the nutrient uptake rates by algae.		
Linkage Analysis			
Wasteload Allocations (for point sources)	 Major point sources: a) Total ammonia as nitrogen (NH₃-N):<u>*</u> 		
	POTW One-hour average WLA Thirty-day average WLA		
	Donald C. Tillman WRP 4.2 mg/L 1.4 mg/L		
	Los Angeles-Glendale WRP 7.8 mg/L 2.2 mg/L		
1	Burbank WRP 9.1 mg/L 2.1 mg/L		
	<u>POTW</u> <u>Thirty-day average WLA</u>		
	$\frac{\text{Donald C. Tillman WRP}}{\text{ELS Absent (year round)}}$ $CCC = 0.90 * \left(\frac{0.0676}{1+10^{7.688-pH}} + \frac{2.912}{1+10^{pH-7.688}}\right) * 0.854 * 2.85 * 10^{0.028*(25-Max(T,7))}$		
	$\frac{\text{Los Angeles-Glendale WRP}}{\text{ELS Present (from April 1 - September 30)}}$ $CCC = 0.90 * \left(\frac{0.0676}{1+10^{7.688-pH}} + \frac{2.912}{1+10^{pH-7.688}} \right) * 0.854 * MIN(2.85, 2.85 * 10^{0.028*(25-T)})$ $\frac{\text{ELS Absent (from October 1 - March 31)}}{1 + 10^{pH-7.688}}$		
	$CCC = 0.90 * \left(\frac{0.0676}{1+10^{7.688-pH}} + \frac{2.912}{1+10^{pH-7.688}}\right) * 0.854 * 2.85 * 10^{0.028*(25-Max(T,7))}$		

Element	Los Angeles River Nitrogen Compounds and Related Effects TMDL		
	$\frac{\text{Burbank WRP}}{\text{ELS Absent (year round)}}$ $CCC = 0.90 * \left(\frac{0.0676}{1+10^{7.688-pH}} + \frac{2.912}{1+10^{pH-7.688}}\right) * 0.92 * 2.03 * 10^{0.028*(25-Max(T,7))}$		
	In addition, the highest four-day average within the 30-day period shall not exceed 2.5 times the 30-day average wasteload allocation.		
	* It would be consistent with the findings and assumptions of this TMDL to calculate total ammonia WLAs based on the most recent three years of monitoring data when incorporating WLAs into permits. In applying this approach, 90 th percentile pH data shall be used to establish one-hour average WLAs and the 50 th percentile of pH and temperature data shall be used to establish 30-day average WLAs. The procedure for translation of objectives into effluent limitations specified in Chapter 3 of this Basin Plan, as amended by Resolution R02-011 and R04-022, shall be used to translate WLAs into permit effluent limitations.		
	Regardless of the SSO and SSO-derived WLAs, for discharges with concentrations below site-specific water quality objectives, effluent limitations shall ensure that effluent concentrations do not exceed the level of water quality that can be reliably maintained by the facility's applicable treatment technologies existing at the time of permit issuance, reissuance, or modification. Regional Water Board staff may consider recommendations from a Regional Water Board-led workgroup that will be charged with evaluating alternative methodologies for calculating effluent limitations for discharges with concentrations below site-specific water quality objectives. Permit compliance with anti-degradation and anti-backsliding requirements shall be documented in permit fact sheets.		
	 b) Nitrate-nitrogen (NO₃-N), nitrite-nitrogen (NO₂-N), and Nitrate-nitrogen plus nitrite-nitrogen (NO₃-N + NO₂-N): 		
	Constituent Thirty-day average WLA* NO ₃ -N 7.2 mg/L		
	NO ₂ -N 0.9 mg/L		
	NO ₃ -N + NO ₂ -N 7.2 mg/L *Receiving water monitoring is required on a weekly basis to ensure compliance with the water quality objective.		
	2. Minor point sources:		
	Waste loads are allocated to minor point sources enrolled under NPDES or WDR permits including but not limited to Tapia WRP, Whittier Narrows WRP, Los Angeles Zoo WRP, industrial and construction stormwater, and municipal storm water and urban runoff		

Element	Los Angeles River Nitrogen Compounds and Related Effects TMDL		
	from municipal separate storm sewer systems (MS4s):		
	 a) Ammonia wasteload allocations (WLAs) for minor point sources are listed below by receiving waters: <i>Water Body</i> 		
	One-hour average WLA		
	Los Angeles River above Los Angeles-Glendale WRP (LAG) 4.7 mg/L 1.6 mg/L		
	Los Angeles River below LAG 8.7 mg/L 2.4 mg/L		
	Los AngelesTributaries 10.1 mg/L 2.3 mg/L		
	Water Body		
	Thirty-day average WLA		
	Los Angeles River Reach 6 (above Balboa Blvd.) 1.6 mg/L		
	Los Angeles River Reach 5 (within Sepulveda Basin) ELS Present (from April 1 – September 30		
	$CCC = \left(\frac{0.0676}{1+10^{7.688-pH}} + \frac{2.912}{1+10^{pH-7.688}}\right) * 0.854 * MIN(2.85, 2.85 * 10^{0.028*(25-T)})$		
	ELS Absent (from October 1 – March 31)		
	$CCC = \left(\frac{0.0676}{1+10^{7.688-pH}} + \frac{2.912}{1+10^{pH-7.688}}\right) * 0.854 * 2.85 * 10^{0.028*(25-Max(T,7))}$		
	Los Angeles River Reach 4 (Sepulveda Dam To Riverside Dr.) ELS Absent (year round)		
	$\frac{\text{ELS Absent (year round)}}{CCC} = \left(\frac{0.0676}{1+10^{7.688-pH}} + \frac{2.912}{1+10^{pH-7.688}}\right) * 0.854 * 2.85 * 10^{0.028*(25-Max(T,7))}$		
	Los Angeles River Reach 3 (Riverside Dr. To Figueroa St.) ELS Present (from April 1 – September 30)		
	$CCC = \left(\frac{0.0676}{1+10^{7.688-pH}} + \frac{2.912}{1+10^{pH-7.688}}\right) * 0.854 * MIN(2.85, 2.85 * 10^{0.028*(25-T)})$		
	$\frac{\text{ELS Absent (from October 1 - March 31)}}{CCC} = \left(\frac{0.0676}{1+10^{7.688-pH}} + \frac{2.912}{1+10^{pH-7.688}}\right) * 0.854 * 2.85 * 10^{0.028*(25-Max(T,7))}$		

Element	Los Angeles River Nitrogen Compounds and Related Effects TMDL		
	Los Angeles River Reach 2 2.4 mg/L		
	Los Angeles River Reach 1		
	<u>2.4 mg/L</u>		
	Los AngelesTributaries		
	2.3 mg/L (excluding Whittier Narrows)		
	Whittier Narrows Thirty-Dave Average WLA		
	ELS Present (from April 1 – September 30)		
	$CCC = \left(\frac{0.0676}{1+10^{7.688-pH}} + \frac{2.912}{1+10^{pH-7.688}}\right) * 0.854 * MIN(2.85, 3.04 * 10^{0.028*(25-T)})$		
	ELS Absent (from October 1 – March 31)		
	$CCC = \left(\frac{0.0676}{1+10^{7.688-pH}} + \frac{2.912}{1+10^{pH-7.688}}\right) * 0.854 * 3.04 * 10^{0.028*(25-Max(T,7))}$		
	In addition, the highest four-day average within the 30-day period shall not exceed 2.5 times the 30-day average wasteload allocation.		
	* It would be consistent with the findings and assumptions of this TMDL to calculate total ammonia WLAs based on the most recent three years of data when incorporating WLAs into permits. In applying this approach, 90 th percentile pH data shall be used to establish one-hour average WLAs and the 50 th percentile of pH and temperature data shall be used to establish 30-day average WLAs. The procedure for translation of objectives into effluent limits specified in Chapter 3 of this Basin Plan, as amended by Resolution R02-011 and R04-022, shall be used to translate WLAs into permit effluent limitations.		
	Regardless of the SSO and SSO-derived WLAs, for discharges with concentrations		
	below site-specific water quality objectives, effluent limitations shall ensure that effluent concentrations do not exceed the level of water quality that can be reliably maintained by the facility's applicable treatment technologies existing at the time of permit issuance, reissuance, or modification. Regional Water Board staff may consider recommendations from a Regional Water Board-led workgroup that will be charged with evaluating alternative methodologies for calculating effluent limitations for discharges with concentrations below site-specific water quality objectives. Permit compliance with anti-degradation and anti-backsliding requirements shall be documented in permit fact sheets.		
	b) WLAs for nitrate-nitrogen, nitrite-nitrogen, and nitrate-nitrogen		
	plus nitrite-nitrogen for minor discharges are listed below:		
	Constituent		
	Thirty-day average WLA NO ₃ -N		
	8.0 mg/L		
	NO ₂ -N		
	1.0 mg/L		
	NO ₃ -N + NO ₂ -N 8.0 mg/L		

Element	Los Angeles River Nitrogen Compounds and Related Effects TMDL		
<i>Load Allocation</i> (for nonpoint sources)	The Source Assessment indicates that nitrogen loads from nonpoint sources are negligible compared to loading from point sources and their contribution is adequately accounted for in the margin of safety. Consequently, load allocations will not be developed unless it is determined they are necessary after load reductions are effected through implementation of the wasteload allocations. Additional monitoring is included in the implementation plan to verify the nitrogen nonpoint source contributions.		
Implementation	1. Refer to Table 7-8.2		
	2. The Implementation Plan includes upgrades to the WRPs discharging to Los Angeles River for removal of ammonia, nitrate, and nitrite. At the discretion of the Regional Board, the following interim limits for ammonia, and nitrate plus nitrite will be allowed for major point sources for a period not to exceed 3.5 years from the effective date of this TMDL. Effluent limits for the individual compounds NO ₃ -N, and NO ₂ -N are not required during the interim period. Interim Limits for NH ₃ -N		
	Total ammonia as Nitrogen POTW POTW Daily Maximum* Monthly Average* Donald C. Tillman WRP 21.7 mg/L 21.0 mg/L Los Angeles-Glendale WRP 19.4 mg/L 16.5 mg/L		
	Burbank WRP 24.1 mg/L 22.7 mg/L		
	*The monthly average and daily maximum interim limits are based on the 95 th and 99 th percentiles of effluent performance data reported by dischargers. <i>Nitrite-nitrogen</i> + <i>Nitrate-nitrogen</i> <i>Monthly Average</i>		
	8.0 mg/L		
	The Implementation Plan also includes additional studies to evaluate the effectiveness of nitrogen reductions on related effects such as algae growth, odors and scum. Ammonia and nitrate reductions will be regulated through effluent limits prescribed in NPDES permits.		

Element	Los Angeles River Nitrogen Compounds and Related Effects TMDL		
Margin of Safety	An explicit margin of safety of 10% of the ammonia, nitrate, nitrite and nitrate + nitrite loads is allocated to address uncertainty in the sources and linkage analyses. In addition, an implicit margin of safety is incorporated through conservative model assumptions and statistical analysis.		
Seasonal	The critical condition identified for this TMDL is based on low flow		
Variations and	condition. The driest six months of the year are the most critical condition for		
Critical	nutrients because less surface flow is available to dilute effluent discharge.		
Conditions			
<u>Mønitoring</u>	Tillman, LA-Glendale, Burbank, and Whittier Narrows POTWs must conduct confirmatory receiving water monitoring to verify that water quality conditions are similar to those of the 2003 ammonia WER study period. Confirmatory monitoring will be supplemental to three species toxicity testing required in the NPDES permits and must utilize <i>Hyallela azteca</i> as the test organism. If any confirmatory monitoring suggests toxicity, side-by-side toxicity testing of <i>Hyallela azteca</i> in site water and laboratory water is required. Temperature, pH, and ammonia receiving water data will be collected at the time and location of collection of the toxicity samples. Monitoring of chemistry and toxicity testing should include a minimum of three sample events per year for three years [*] . Monitoring sites should be representative of those investigated in the Los Angeles River during the SSO study, as well as one location in the reach immediately downstream of where the SSO is applied. Two of the three sample events should be conducted during the defined critical condition, as identified by study results. Following the first three-year monitoring cycle, if there is no increase in toxicity attributable to ammonia, monitoring may be reduced to once every three years at each site, as appropriate. The number and type of events during the year should be as described above.		

Та	ble 7-8.2. IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE	
Im	plementation Tasks	Completion Date
1.	Apply interim limits for NH_3 -N and NO_3 -N + NO_2 -N to major Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs).	March 23, 2004Effective Date of TMDL
2.	Apply Waste Load Allocations (WLAs) to minor point source dischargers and MS4 permittees.	
3.	Begin to include monitoring for nitrogen compounds in NPDES permits for minor NPDES dischargers above 0.1 mgd as permits are renewed.	
4.	Submittal of a Monitoring Work Plan by MS4 permittees to estimate nitrogen loadings associated with runoff loads from the storm drain system for approval by the Executive Officer of the Regional Board. The Work Plan will include monitoring for ammonia, nitrate, and nitrite. The Work Plan may include a phased approach wherein the first phase is based on monitoring from the existing mass emission station in the Los Angeles River. The results will be used to calibrate the linkage analysis. The Work Plan will also contain protocol and a schedule for implementing additional monitoring if necessary. The Work Plan will also propose triggers for conducting source identification and implementing BMPs, if necessary. Source identification and BMPs will be in accordance with the requirements of MS4 permits.	March 23, 2005 1 year after the Effective Date of TMDL
5.	Submittal of a Workplan by major NPDES permittees to evaluate the effectiveness of nitrogen reductions on removing impairments from algae odors, scums, and pH for approval by the Executive Officer of the Regional Board. The monitoring program will include instream monitoring of algae, foam, scum, pH, and odors in the Los Angeles River. In addition, groundwater discharge to Los Angeles River will also be analyzed for nutrients to determine the magnitude of these loadings and the need for load allocations. The Workplan will include protocol and schedule for refining numeric targets for nitrogen compounds and related effects such as excessive algae in the Los Angeles River. The Workplan will also contain protocol and a schedule for identification of limiting nutrients.	March 23, 2005 1 year after the Effective Date of TMDL
6.	Submission of a special studies Workplan by the City of Los Angeles to evaluate site-specific objectives for ammonia, nitrate, and nitrite, including the following issues: pH and temperature distribution downstream of the D.C. Tillman WRP to determine the point of compliance for ammonia, establishment of ammonia WLAs based on seasonality.	<u>March 23, 2005</u> 1 year after Effective Date of TMDL
2.	Submission of all results from Task 6, and results from water effects ratio study for ammonia which has been performed by the City of Los Angeles.	No later than <u>September 23,</u> <u>2006-2.5 years after</u> <u>Effective Date of TMDL.</u>

Table 7-8.2. IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE	
Implementation Tasks	Completion Date
8. Regional Board considers site-specific objectives for ammonia, nitrate, nitrite and nitrite + nitrate and revision of wasteload allocations based on results from Tasks 6 and 7. The Regional Board will consider factors such as seasonal variation, averaging periods, and water effects ratios when determining whether it is appropriate to adopt site-specific objectives for ammonia. If a site specific objective is adopted by the Regional Board, and approved by relevant approving agencies, this TMDL will need to be revised, readopted, and reapproved to reflect the revised water quality objectives.	No later than <u>September 23,</u> <u>2007-3.5 years after</u> Effective Date of TMDL.
9. Interim limits for ammonia and nitrate + nitrite expire and WLAs for ammonia, nitrate, nitrite, and nitrate + nitrite apply to major point sources.	September 23, 2007 3.5 years after Effective Date of TMDL
10. Complete evaluation of monitoring for nutrient effects and determine need for revising wasteload allocations, including but not limited to establishing new WLAs for other nutrient and related effects such as algal growth	March 23, 20084 years after Effective Date of TMDL
11. Regional Board considers results of Tasks 5 and 10 and revises or establishes WLAs as appropriate.	March 23, 2009 5 years after Effective Date of TMDL